R E S E A R C H Open Access

On solution of generalized proportional fractional integral via a new fixed point theorem

Anupam Das¹, Iyad Suwan², Bhuban Chandra Deuri³ and Thabet Abdeljawad^{4,5,6*}

* Correspondence: tabdeljawad@psu.edu.sa ⁴Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, 11586, Saudi Arabia 5Department of Medical Research, China Medical University, Taichung, 40402, Taiwan Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

The aim of this paper is the solvability of generalized proportional fractional(GPF) integral equation at Banach space E . Herein, we have established a new fixed point theorem which is then applied to the GPF integral equation in order to establish the existence of solution on the Banach space. At last, we have illustrated a genuine example that verified our theorem and gave a strong support to prove it.

MSC: 45G05; 47H08; 47H09; 47H10

Keywords: Measure of noncompactness (MNC); Fixed point theorem; Generalized proportional fractional integral

1 Introduction

In 1930 Kuratowski [1] introduced the notion of a measure of noncompactness. In functional analysis, this idea is particularly important in metric fixed point theory and operator equation theory in Banach spaces. The theory of infinite systems of fractional integral equations (FIEs) plays a pivotal role in different fields, which includes various implications in the scaling system theory, the theory of algorithms, etc. There are many real life problems which can be formulated by infinite systems of integral equations with fractional order in a very effective manner.

In recent times, the fixed point theory (FPT) has applications in various scientific fields. Also, FPT can be applied seeking solutions for FIE.

Different real life situations which are formulated via FIEs can be studied using FPT and measure of noncompactness (MNC) (see [2-24]).

Let a real Banach space $(\mathbb{E}, \|.\|)$ and $B(x,r) = \{y \in \mathbb{E} : \|y-x\| \leq r\}$. If $\Omega(\neq \phi) \subseteq \mathbb{E}$. Also, $\bar{\Omega}$ and ConvΩ represent the closure and convex closure of Ω. Moreover, let

- a. $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{E}}$ = collection of all nonempty and bounded subsets of \mathbb{E} ,
- b. $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathbb{E}}$ = collection of all relatively compact sets,
- c. \mathbb{R} = collection of all real numbers,
	- and
- d. \mathbb{R}_+ = collection of all nonnegative real numbers.

The following definition of an MNC is given in [25].

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Definition 1.1 A function $\Pi : \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{E}} \to [0, \infty)$ is called an MNC in \mathbb{E} if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) The family ker $\Pi = \{ \Omega \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{E}} : \Pi(\Omega) = 0 \}$ is nonempty and ker $\Pi \subset \mathfrak{N}_{\mathbb{E}}$.
- (ii) $\Omega \subseteq \Omega_1 \implies \Pi(\Omega) \leq \Pi(\Omega_1).$
- (iii) $\Pi(\overline{\Omega}) = \Pi(\Omega)$.
- $(iv) \Pi(Conv \Omega) = \Pi(\Omega).$
- $(\text{v}) \ \Pi(\rho \Omega + (1 \rho)P) \leq \rho \Pi(\Omega) + (1 \rho) \Pi(P) \text{ for } \rho \in [0, 1].$
- (vi) If $\Omega_n \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{E}}, \Omega_n = \overline{\Omega}_n, \Omega_{n+1} \subset \Omega_n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Pi(\Omega_n) = 0$ then $\Omega_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n \neq \phi.$

The ker Π family is *kernel of measure* $\Pi.$ Note that the intersection set Ω_{∞} from (vi) is a member of the family ker $\Pi.$ In fact, since $\Pi(\Omega_\infty) \leq \Pi(\Omega_n)$ for any $n,$ we conclude that $\Pi(\Omega_{\infty}) = 0$. This gives $\Omega_{\infty} \in \text{ker } \Pi$.

The fixed point principle and theorem play a key role in the theory of fixed point.

Theorem 1.2 (Shauder [26]) *Let* V *be a nonempty*, *closed*, *and convex subset of a Banach space* E. *Then every compact*, *continuous map Υ* : V → V *has at least one fixed point*(FP) *in* V.

Theorem 1.3 (Darbo [27]) *Let V be a nonempty*, *bounded*, *closed*, *and convex*(*NBCC*) *subset of a Banach space* E. *Let Υ* : *V* → *V be a continuous mapping*. *Assume that there is a* constant $p \in [0, 1)$ *such that*

 $\eta(\Upsilon \Omega) \leq p\eta(\Omega), \quad \Omega \subseteq V,$

where η is an arbitrary MNC. *Then Υ has an* FP *in V*.

We introduced the following generalization of the Banach contraction principle, in which we get a variety of contractive inequalities by substituting different functions *g*.

Theorem 1.4 *Let* (γ, d) *be a complete metric space. Also, let* $J: \gamma \mapsto \gamma$ *be a continuous self-mapping. Suppose that there exists a function* $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *such that* $\lim_{t \to o^+} g(t) = 0$ *,* $g(0) = 0$, and

$$
d(Jx, Jy) \leq g(d(x, y)) - g(d(Jx, Jy)); \quad \forall x, y \in \gamma.
$$

Then J has a unique FP.

Definition 1.5 ([28]) Let \mathbb{F} be the class of all functions $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying:

- (1) max $\{m_1, m_2\} \leq F(m_1, m_2)$ for $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$;
- (2) *F* is continuous;
- (3) $F(m_1 + m_2, n_1 + n_2) \leq F(m_1, n_1) + F(m_2, n_2);$
- e.g. $F(m_1, m_2) = m_1 + m_2$.

2 Main result

Theorem 2.1 Let \mathbb{V} be an NBCC subset of a Banach space \mathbb{E} , and let $\Upsilon : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ be a *continuous operator such that*

$$
F[\Pi(\Upsilon X), \phi(\Pi(\Upsilon X))] \leq \Delta [F\{\Pi(X), \phi(\Pi(X))\}] - \Delta [F\{\Pi(\Upsilon X), \phi(\Pi(\Upsilon X))\}] \quad (2.1)
$$

for all $X \subseteq \mathbb{V}$, where $\Delta, \phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ are nondecreasing continuous functions and Π is an *arbitrary MNC*. *Then Υ has at least one* FP *in* V.

Proof Let $\mathbb{V}_0 = \mathbb{V}$ and construct a sequence $\{\mathbb{V}_n\}$ such that $\mathbb{V}_{n+1} = \text{Conv}(\Upsilon \mathbb{V}_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If there exists a positive integer $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Pi(\mathbb{V}_{N_0}) = 0$, so \mathbb{V}_{N_0} is relatively compact. And by Theorem 2.1, we give that *Υ* has an FP.

If possible, assume that $\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n) > 0$ for all *n*. Also, we have

$$
\mathbb{V}_1 \supseteq \mathbb{V}_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathbb{V}_n \supseteq \mathbb{V}_{n+1} \supseteq \cdots
$$

Since the sequence $\{\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n)\}\$ is decreasing. So, $\phi(\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n))$ is decreasing. Hence, the sequence $F[\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n), \phi(\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n))]$ is decreasing. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} F[\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n), \phi(\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n))] = L$. By using equation (2.1) , we have

$$
0 \leq F[\Pi(\mathbb{V}_{n+1}), \phi(\Pi(\mathbb{V}_{n+1}))]
$$

\n
$$
= F[\Pi(\Upsilon \mathbb{V}_n), \phi(\Pi(\Upsilon \mathbb{V}_n))]
$$

\n
$$
\leq \Delta[F{\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n), \phi(\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n))}] - \Delta[F{\Pi(\Upsilon \mathbb{V}_n), \phi(\Pi(\Upsilon \mathbb{V}_n))}]
$$

\n
$$
= \Delta[F{\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n), \phi(\Pi(\mathbb{V}_n))}] - \Delta[F{\Pi(\mathbb{V}_{n+1}), \phi(\Pi(\mathbb{V}_{n+1}))}]].
$$

As $n \to \infty$, we get

$$
0 \leq L \leq \Delta(L) - \Delta(L) = 0,
$$

that is, $L = 0$.

Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Pi(\mathbb{V}_n) = 0$. According to axiom (vi) of Definition 1.1, we conclude that $\mathbb{V}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{V}_n$ is an NBCC set, invariant under the mapping γ and belongs to ker Π. By Theorem 1.2, we have *Υ* has an FP. \Box

Theorem 2.2 *Let V be an NBCC subset of a Banach space* \mathbb{E} *, and let* $\Upsilon : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ *be a continuous operator such that*

$$
2F[\Pi(\Upsilon X), \phi(\Pi(\Upsilon X))] \le F\{\Pi(X), \phi(\Pi(X))\}
$$
\n(2.2)

for all $X \subseteq V$, where $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *is a nondecreasing continuous function and* Π *is an arbitrary MNC*. *Then Υ has at least one* FP *in* V.

Proof Taking
$$
\Delta(t) = t
$$
; $t \ge 0$ in Theorem 2.1.

The statement in the next corollary is a result of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3 *Let V be an NBCC subset of a Banach space* \mathbb{E} *, and let* $\Upsilon : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ *be a continuous operator such that*

$$
2\Pi(\Upsilon X) + 2\phi\big(\Pi(\Upsilon X)\big) \le \Pi(X) + \phi\big(\Pi(X)\big) \tag{2.3}
$$

for all $X \subseteq V$, where $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *is a nondecreasing continuous function and* Π *is an arbitrary MNC*. *Then Υ has at least one* FP *in* V.

Proof Taking $F(m_1, m_2) = m_1 + m_2$ in Theorem 2.2. So, we get the required result. \Box

Corollary 2.4 *Let V be an NBCC subset of a Banach space* \mathbb{E} *, and let* $\Upsilon : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ *be a continuous operator such that*

$$
\Pi(\Upsilon X) \le p\Pi(X) \tag{2.4}
$$

for all X \subseteq \mathbb{V} , where p = $\frac{1}{2}$ \in (0, 1] *and* Π *is an arbitrary MNC. Then* Υ *has at least one* \mathbb{FP} *in* V.

Proof Taking $\phi(t) = 0$ in Corollary 2.3, we get the required result. \Box

Theorem 2.5 *Let V be an NBCC subset of a Banach space* \mathbb{E} *, and let* $\Upsilon : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ *be a continuous operator such that*

$$
F[\Pi(\Upsilon X), \phi(\Pi(\Upsilon X))] \le \lambda F\{\Pi(X), \phi(\Pi(X))\}
$$
\n(2.5)

for all $X \subseteq V$, where $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *is a nondecreasing continuous function and* Π *is an arbitrary MNC, where* $\lambda = \frac{k}{k+1} \in [0, 1)$. *Then* Υ *has at least one* \mathbb{FP} *in* \mathbb{V} .

Proof Taking
$$
\Delta(t) = kt
$$
 where $t \ge 0$, $k \ge 0$ in Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.6 *Let V be an NBCC subset of a Banach space* \mathbb{E} *, and let* $\Upsilon : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ *be a continuous operator such that*

$$
\Pi(\Upsilon X) \le \lambda \Pi(X) \tag{2.6}
$$

for all $X \subseteq V$, where $\lambda \in (0,1]$ *and* Π *is an arbitrary MNC. Then* Υ *has at least one* \mathbb{FP} *in* V.

Proof Taking $F(m_1, m_2) = m_1 + m_2$ and $\phi(t) \equiv 0$ in Theorem 2.5. So, we get the result which is Darbo's fixed point theorem. -

Definition 2.7 ([29]) An element (A, B) $\in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is called a coupled fixed point of a mapping $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ if $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}$.

Theorem 2.8 ([25]) *Suppose that* $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \ldots, \Pi_n$ *is the MNC in* $\mathbb{E}_1, \mathbb{E}_2, \ldots, \mathbb{E}_n$ *respectively. Moreover, suppose that the function* $\mathcal{X}: \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *is convex and* $\mathcal{F}(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ $y_t = 0$ *for* $t = 1, 2, ..., n$, then $\Pi(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{F}(\Pi_1(\mathcal{X}_1), \Pi_2(\mathcal{X}_2), ..., \Pi_n(\mathcal{X}_n))$ defines an MNC in $\mathbb{E}_1, \mathbb{E}_2, \ldots, \mathbb{E}_n$, where \mathcal{X}_t denotes the natural projection of X into \mathbb{E}_t for $t = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Example 2.9 ([25]) Let Π be an MNC on E. Define $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then \mathcal{F} has all the properties mentioned in Theorem 2.8. Hence, $\Pi^{cf}(\mathcal{X}) = \Pi_1(\mathcal{X}_1) + \Pi_2(\mathcal{X}_2)$ is an MNC in the space $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$, where \mathcal{X}_t , $t = 1, 2$, denotes the natural projections of \mathcal{X} .

Definition 2.10 ([30]) Suppose that *G* is the set of all functions $\mu : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) μ is a continuous strictly increasing function.
- (2) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(s_n) = -\infty \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$ for all $s_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$.

For example,

- i. $\mu_1(s) = \ln(s)$,
- ii. $\mu_2(s) = 1 \frac{1}{s^t}, t > 0.$

Theorem 2.11 Let \mathbb{V} be an NBCC subset of a Banach space \mathbb{E} , and let $\Upsilon : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ be *a continuous operator such that*

$$
\mu\big[F\big\{\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_1\times s_2)\big),\phi\big(\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_1\times s_2)\big)\big)\big\}\big]\leq \frac{\Delta}{2}\big[\mu\big\{\Pi(s_1\times s_2)+\phi\big(\Pi(s_1\times s_2)\big)\big\}\big] \quad (2.7)
$$

for all s_1 , $s_2 \subseteq V$, where Δ , *F*, *and* ϕ *are as in Theorem* 2.1 *and* Π *is an arbitrary MNC*. *In addition, we assume* $\mu(A + B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$; $A, B \geq 0$ *and* $\phi(A + B) \leq \phi(A) + \phi(B)$; $A, B \geq 0$. *Then* Υ *has at least a couple of* \mathbb{FP} *in* \mathbb{V} *.*

Proof Consider a mapping $\gamma^{cf} : \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$ by $\gamma^{cf}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = (\gamma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}), \gamma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}))$; $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in$ V. It is trivial that *Υ cf* is continuous.

Let *s* \subseteq V \times V be nonempty. We have $\Pi^{cf}(s) = \Pi(s_1) + \Pi(s_2)$ is an MNC, where *s*₁, *s*₂ are the natural projections of *s* into E.

We get

$$
\mu\big[F\big{\Pi^{\mathcal{G}}\big(\Upsilon^{\mathcal{G}}(s),\phi\big(\Pi^{\mathcal{G}}\big(\Upsilon^{\mathcal{G}}(s)\big)\big)\big\}\big]\n\n\leq \mu\big[F\big{\Pi^{\mathcal{G}}\big(\Upsilon(s_1\times s_2)\times\Upsilon(s_2\times s_1)\big),\phi\big(\Pi^{\mathcal{G}}\big(\Upsilon(s_1\times s_2)\times\Upsilon(s_2\times s_1)\big)\big)\big\}\big]\n\n= \mu\big[F\big{\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_1\times s_2)\big) + \Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_2\times s_1)\big),\phi\big(\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_1\times s_2)\big) + \Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_2\times s_1)\big)\big)\big\}\big]\n\n\leq \mu\big[F\big{\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_1\times s_2)\big) + \Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_2\times s_1)\big),\phi\big(\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_1\times s_2)\big)\big) + \phi\big(\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_2\times s_1)\big)\big)\big\}\big]\n\n\leq \mu\big[F\big{\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_1\times s_2)\big),\phi\big(\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_1\times s_2)\big)\big)\big\}\big]\n\n+ \mu\big[F\big{\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_2\times s_1)\big),\phi\big(\Pi\big(\Upsilon(s_2\times s_1)\big)\big)\big\}\big]\n\n\leq \Delta\big[\mu\big{\Pi(s_1) + \Pi(s_2) + \phi\big(\Pi(s_1) + \Pi(s_2)\big)\big\}\big]\n\n= \Delta\big[\mu\big{\Pi^{\mathcal{G}}\big(s\big),\phi\big(\Pi^{\mathcal{G}}\big(s\big)\big)\big\}\big]\n\n= \Delta\big[\mu\big\{\Gamma\big(\Upsilon(s_1) + \phi\big(\Pi^{\mathcal{G}}(s)\big)\big)\big\}\big].
$$

By Theorem 2.1, we conclude that Υ^{cf} has minimum of one fixed point in $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$. That is, *Υ* has minimum of one coupled fixed point. $□$

3 Measure of noncompactness on *C***([0,** *T***])**

Consider the space $\mathbf{E} = C(I)$ which is the set of real continuous functions on *I*, where $I = [0, T]$. Then **E** is a Banach space with the norm

 $\| \varrho \| = \sup \{ |\varrho(\varsigma)| : \varsigma \in I \}, \quad \varrho \in \mathbf{E}.$

Let $\Upsilon(\neq \phi) \subseteq \mathbf{E}$ be bounded. For $\rho \in \Upsilon$ and $\epsilon > 0$, denote by $\omega(\rho, \epsilon)$ the modulus of the continuity of ϱ , i.e.,

$$
\omega(\varrho,\epsilon)=\sup\big\{\big|\varrho(\varsigma_1)-\varrho(\varsigma_2)\big|: \varsigma_1,\varsigma_2\in I, |\varsigma_1-\varsigma_1|\leq\epsilon\big\}.
$$

Further, we define

$$
\omega(\Upsilon, \epsilon) = \sup \{ \omega(\varrho, \epsilon) : \varrho \in \Upsilon \}; \qquad \omega_0(\Upsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \omega(\Upsilon, \epsilon).
$$

It is well known that the function ω_0 is an MNC in **E** such that the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness *χ* is given by $\chi(\Upsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0(\Upsilon)$ (see [25]).

4 Solvability of fractional integral equation

For $\rho \in (0, 1]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$, we define the left GPF integral of *f* defined by [31]

$$
\left({}_{a}I^{\alpha,\rho}f\right)(t)=\frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{a}^{t}e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(t-\tau)}{\rho}}(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1}f(\tau)\,d\tau.
$$

In this part, we study the following fractional integral equation:

$$
\mathcal{Z}(\zeta) = \Delta(\zeta, \mathcal{L}(\zeta, \mathcal{Z}(\zeta)), (oI^{\alpha, \rho} \mathcal{Z})(\zeta)),
$$
\n(4.1)

where $\alpha > 1, \rho \in (0, 1], \zeta \in I = [0, T].$

Let

$$
B_{d_0} = \{ \mathcal{Z} \in \mathbf{E} : ||\mathcal{Z}|| \leq d_0 \}.
$$

Assume that

(A) $\Delta: I \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}: I \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and there exist constants $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 \geq 0$ satisfying

$$
\left|\Delta(\varsigma,\mathcal{L},I_1)-\Delta(\varsigma,\bar{\mathcal{L}},\bar{I}_1)\right|\leq \delta_1|\mathcal{L}-\bar{\mathcal{L}}|+\delta_2|I_1-\bar{I}_1|,\quad \varsigma\in I;\mathcal{L},I_1,\bar{\mathcal{L}},\bar{I}_1\in\mathbb{R}
$$

and

$$
\big|\mathcal{L}(\varsigma,I_1)-\mathcal{L}(\varsigma,I_2)\big|\leq \delta_3|J_1-J_2|,\quad J_1,J_2\in\mathbb{R}.
$$

(B) There exists $d_0 > 0$ satisfying

$$
\bar{\Delta} = \sup \{ |\Delta(\varsigma, \mathcal{L}, I_1)| : \varsigma \in I, \mathcal{L} \in [-\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}], I_1 \in [-\hat{\mathcal{I}}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}] \} \le d_0
$$

and

$$
\delta_1\delta_3<1,
$$

where

$$
\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \sup \{ |\mathcal{L}(\varsigma, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma))| : \varsigma \in I, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma) \in [-d_0, d_0] \}
$$

and

$$
\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \sup \{ |({}_0I^{\alpha,\rho} \mathcal{Z})(\varsigma) | : \varsigma \in I, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma) \in [-d_0, d_0] \}.
$$

(C) $|\Delta(\varsigma, 0, 0)| = 0, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma, 0) = 0.$

(D) There exists a positive solution d_0 of the inequality

$$
\delta_1\delta_3r+\frac{\delta_2rT^{\alpha}}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\cdot e^{\frac{(\rho-1)T}{\rho}}\leq r.
$$

Theorem 4.1 *If conditions* (A) – (D) *hold, then Eq.* (4.1) *has a solution in* $E = C(I)$.

Proof Define the operator $\mathcal{T} : \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{E}$ as follows:

$$
(\mathcal{TZ})(\zeta) = \Delta(\zeta, \mathcal{L}(\zeta, \mathcal{Z}(\zeta)), ({}_0I^{\alpha,\rho}\mathcal{Z})(\zeta)).
$$

Step 1: We prove that the function Q maps B_{d_0} into B_{d_0} . Let $\Upsilon \in B_{d_0}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\mathcal{TZ} \right) \right| &\leq \left| \Delta \left(\varsigma, \mathcal{L} \left(\varsigma, \mathcal{Z} \left(\varsigma \right) \right), \left({}_{0}I^{\alpha, \rho} \mathcal{Z} \right) \left(\varsigma \right) \right) - \Delta (\varsigma, 0, 0) \right| + \left| \Delta (\varsigma, 0, 0) \right| \\ &\leq \delta_{1} \left| \mathcal{L} \left(\varsigma, \mathcal{Z} \left(\varsigma \right) \right) - 0 \right| + \delta_{2} \left| \left({}_{0}I^{\alpha, \rho} \mathcal{Z} \right) \left(\varsigma \right) - 0 \right| \\ &\leq \delta_{1} \delta_{3} \left| \mathcal{Z} \left(\varsigma \right) \right| + \delta_{2} \left| \left({}_{0}I^{\alpha, \rho} \mathcal{Z} \right) \left(\varsigma \right) \right| . \end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{split}\n&|\left(\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z}\right)(\varsigma)| \\
&= \left|\frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{0}^{\varsigma}e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(\varsigma-\tau)}{\rho}}(\varsigma-\tau)^{\alpha-1}\mathcal{Z}(\tau)\,d\tau\right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{0}^{\varsigma}e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(\varsigma-\tau)}{\rho}}(\varsigma-\tau)^{\alpha-1}\left|\mathcal{Z}(\tau)\right|d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{d_{0}e^{\frac{(\rho-1)T}{\rho}}}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{0}^{\varsigma}(\varsigma-\tau)^{\alpha-1}\,d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{d_{0}T^{\alpha}e^{\frac{(\rho-1)T}{\rho}}}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha+1)}.\n\end{split}
$$

Hence, $\|\mathcal{T}\|$ < d_0 gives

$$
\|\mathcal{T}\| \leq \delta_1 \delta_3 d_0 + \frac{\delta_2 d_0 T^{\alpha}}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1)} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)T}{\rho}} \leq d_0.
$$

Due to assumption (D), T maps B_{d_0} into B_{d_0} .

Step 2: We prove that $\mathcal T$ is continuous on B_{d_0} . Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal Z, \bar{\mathcal Z} \in B_{r_0}$ such that $\|\mathcal Z \bar{\mathcal{Z}}\Vert < \epsilon$. We have

$$
\big| (\mathcal{T}\mathcal{Z})(\zeta) - (\mathcal{T}\bar{\mathcal{Z}})(\zeta) \big|
$$

$$
\leq \left| \Delta(\varsigma, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma)), ({}_{0}I^{\alpha,\rho}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma)) - \Delta(\varsigma, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma, \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\varsigma)), ({}_{0}I^{\alpha,\rho}\bar{\mathcal{Z}})(\varsigma)) \right|
$$

$$
\leq \delta_{1} |\mathcal{L}(\varsigma, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma)) - \mathcal{L}(\varsigma, \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\varsigma))| + \delta_{2} |({}_{0}I^{\alpha,\rho}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma) - ({}_{0}I^{\alpha,\rho}\bar{\mathcal{Z}})(\varsigma)|.
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{split} &\left| \left({}_{0}I^{\alpha,\rho} \mathcal{Z} \right) (\varsigma) - \left({}_{0}I^{\alpha,\rho} \bar{\mathcal{Z}} \right) (\varsigma) \right| \\ & = \left| \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\varsigma} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(\varsigma-\tau)}{\rho}} (\varsigma-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \left\{ \mathcal{Z}(\tau) - \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\tau) \right\} d\tau \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\varsigma} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(\varsigma-\tau)}{\rho}} (\varsigma-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \left| \mathcal{Z}(\tau) - \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\tau) \right| d\tau \\ & < \frac{\epsilon T^{\alpha} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)T}{\rho}}}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}. \end{split}
$$

Hence, $\|\mathcal{Z} - \bar{\mathcal{Z}}\| < \epsilon$ gives

$$
\left| \left(\mathcal{TZ} \right)(\zeta) - \left(\mathcal{T}\bar{Z} \right)(\zeta) \right| < \delta_1 \delta_3 \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon T^{\alpha} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)T}{\rho}}}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}.
$$

As $\epsilon \to 0$ we get $|(\mathcal{TZ})(\zeta)-(\mathcal{TZ})(\zeta)| \to 0$. This shows that $\mathcal T$ is continuous on B_{d_0} .

Step 3: An estimate of $\mathcal T$ with respect to ω_0 : Assume that $\Omega(\neq \phi) \subseteq B_{d_0}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and choose $\mathcal{Z} \in \Omega$ and $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in I$ such that $|\zeta_2 - \zeta_1| \leq \epsilon$ and $\zeta_2 \geq \zeta_1$. Now,

$$
|(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{2}) - (\mathcal{T}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{1})|
$$
\n
$$
= |\Delta(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma_{2})), (\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{2})) - \Delta(\varsigma_{1}, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma_{1}, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma_{1})), (\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{1}))|
$$
\n
$$
\leq |\Delta(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma_{2})), (\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{2})) - \Delta(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma_{2})), (\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{1}))|
$$
\n
$$
+ |\Delta(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma_{2})), (\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{1})) - \Delta(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma_{1}, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma_{1})), (\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{1}))|
$$
\n
$$
+ |\Delta(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma_{1}, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma_{1})), (\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{1})) - \Delta(\varsigma_{1}, \mathcal{L}(\varsigma_{1}, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma_{1})), (\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{1}))|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \delta_{2}|(\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{2}) - (\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{1})| + \delta_{1} |\mathcal{L}(\varsigma_{2}, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma_{2})) - \mathcal{L}(\varsigma_{1}, \mathcal{Z}(\varsigma_{1}))| + \omega_{\Delta}(I, \epsilon)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \delta_{2}|(\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal{Z})(\varsigma_{2}) - (\mathbf{0}^{I^{\alpha,\rho}}\mathcal
$$

where

$$
\omega_{\Delta}(I,\epsilon) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|\Delta(\varsigma_2,\mathcal{L},\mathcal{I}_1) - \Delta(\varsigma_1,\mathcal{L},\mathcal{I}_1)| : |\varsigma_2 - \varsigma_1| \leq \epsilon; \varsigma_1, \varsigma_2 \in I;}{\mathcal{L} \in [-\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}]; \mathcal{I}_1 \in [-\hat{\mathcal{I}}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}]} \right\}.
$$

Also,

$$
\left| \left({}_{0}I^{\alpha,\rho} \mathcal{Z} \right) \left(\varsigma_{2} \right) - \left({}_{0}I^{\alpha,\rho} \mathcal{Z} \right) \left(\varsigma_{1} \right) \right|
$$
\n
$$
= \left| \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\varsigma_{2}} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(\varsigma_{2}-\tau)}{\rho}} (\varsigma_{2} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Z}(\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\varsigma_{1}} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(\varsigma_{1}-\tau)}{\rho}} (\varsigma_{1} - \tau)^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Z}(\tau) d\tau \right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_{0}^{S_{2}} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(c_{2}-\tau)}{\rho}} (c_{2}-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Z}(\tau) d\tau - \int_{0}^{S_{1}} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(c_{1}-\tau)}{\rho}} (c_{1}-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Z}(\tau) d\tau \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_{0}^{S_{2}} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(c_{2}-\tau)}{\rho}} (c_{2}-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Z}(\tau) d\tau - \int_{0}^{S_{1}} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(c_{2}-\tau)}{\rho}} (c_{2}-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Z}(\tau) d\tau \right|
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)} \left| \int_{0}^{S_{1}} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(c_{2}-\tau)}{\rho}} (c_{2}-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Z}(\tau) d\tau - \int_{0}^{S_{1}} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(c_{1}-\tau)}{\rho}} (c_{1}-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Z}(\tau) d\tau \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{S_{1}}^{S_{2}} e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(c_{2}-\tau)}{\rho}} (c_{2}-\tau)^{\alpha-1} |\mathcal{Z}(\tau)| d\tau
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{S_{1}} |(e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(c_{2}-\tau)}{\rho}} (c_{2}-\tau)^{\alpha-1} - e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(c_{1}-\tau)}{\rho}} (c_{1}-\tau)^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{Z}(\tau) d\tau \right|
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{-e^{\frac{(\rho-1)T}{\rho}}}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha+1)} ||\mathcal{Z}|| (c_{2}-c_{1})^{\alpha}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{||\mathcal{Z}||}{\rho^{\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{S_{1}} |e^{\frac{(\rho-1)(c_{2}-\tau)}{\rho}} (c_{2}-\tau
$$

As $\epsilon \to 0$, then $\zeta_2 \to \zeta_1$, and so $|({}_0I^{\alpha,\rho}\mathcal{Z})(\zeta_2) - ({}_0I^{\alpha,\rho}\mathcal{Z})(\zeta_1)| \to 0$. Hence,

$$
\left| (T\mathcal{Z})(\zeta_2) - (T\mathcal{Z})(\zeta_1) \right|
$$

\$\leq \delta_2 \big| \big({}_0I^{\alpha,\rho}\mathcal{Z}\big)(\zeta_2) - \big({}_0I^{\alpha,\rho}\mathcal{Z}\big)(\zeta_1) \big| + \delta_1 \delta_3 \omega(\mathcal{Z}, \epsilon) + \omega_\Delta(I, \epsilon)\$

gives

$$
\omega(\mathcal{TZ},\epsilon) \leq \delta_2 \big| \big({}_0I^{\alpha,\rho}\mathcal{Z}\big)(\varsigma_2) - \big({}_0I^{\alpha,\rho}\mathcal{Z}\big)(\varsigma_1) \big| + \delta_1 \delta_3 \omega(\mathcal{Z},\epsilon) + \omega_{\Delta}(I,\epsilon).
$$

By the uniform continuity of Δ on $I \times [-\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{L}}] \times [-\hat{\mathcal{I}}, \hat{\mathcal{I}}]$, we have $\omega_{\Delta}(I, \epsilon) \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Taking sup $_{\mathcal{Z}\in\Omega}$ and $\epsilon\to 0$, we get

$$
\omega_0(\mathcal{T}\Omega) \leq \delta_1 \delta_3 \omega_0(\Omega).
$$

Thus, by Corollary 2.6, Q has a fixed point in $\Omega \subseteq B_{d_0}$, i.e., equation (4.1) has a solution in **E**. \Box

Example 4.2 Consider the following equation:

$$
\mathcal{Z}(\zeta) = \frac{\mathcal{Z}(\zeta)}{7 + \zeta^2} + \frac{(0^{1/2} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Z})(\zeta)}{10}
$$
(4.2)

for $\zeta \in [0, 2] = I$.

We have

$$
\left({}_0I^{2,\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{Z}\right)(\zeta)=\frac{4}{\Gamma(2)}\int_0^{\zeta}e^{-(\zeta-\tau)}(\zeta-\tau)\mathcal{Z}(\tau)\,d\tau.
$$

Also, $\Delta(\varsigma, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{I}_1) = \mathcal{L} + \frac{\mathcal{I}_1}{10}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\varsigma, \mathcal{Z}) = \frac{\mathcal{Z}}{\mathcal{I} + \varsigma^2}$. It is trivial that both Δ, \mathcal{L} are continuous satisfying

$$
\left|\mathcal{L}(\varsigma,I_1)-\mathcal{L}(\varsigma,I_2)\right|\leq \frac{|J_1-J_2|}{8}
$$

and

$$
\left|\Delta(\varsigma,\mathcal{L},\mathcal{I}_1)-\Delta(\varsigma,\bar{\mathcal{L}},\bar{\mathcal{I}}_1)\right|\leq |\mathcal{U}-\bar{\mathcal{U}}|+\frac{1}{10}|\mathcal{I}_1-\bar{\mathcal{I}}_1|.
$$

Therefore, $\delta_1 = 1$, $\delta_2 = \frac{1}{10}$, $\delta_3 = \frac{1}{8}$, and $\delta_1 \delta_3 = \frac{1}{8} < 1$. If $\|\mathcal{Z}\| \leq d_0$, then

$$
\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{d_0}{8}
$$

and

$$
\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \frac{8d_0}{e^2}.
$$

Further,

$$
\left|\Delta(\varsigma,\mathcal{L},\mathcal{I}_1)\right|\leq \frac{d_0}{8}+\frac{8d_0}{10e^2}\leq d_0.
$$

If we choose $d_0 = 2$, then

$$
\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad \hat{\mathcal{I}} = \frac{16}{e^2},
$$

which gives

$$
\bar{\Delta}\leq 2.
$$

On the other hand, assumption (D) is also satisfied for $d_0 = 2$.

We observe that all the assumption from (A) – (D) of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. By Theorem 4.1, it can be said that equation (4.2) has a solution in $\mathbf{E} = C(I)$.

Acknowledgements Not applicable.

Funding Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Department of Mathematics, Cotton University, Panbazar, Guwahati-781001, Assam, India. ²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The Arab American University, P.O. Box 240, 13 Zababdeh, Jenin, Palestine. ³Department of Mathematics, Rajiv Gandhi University, Rono Hills, Doimukh-791112, Arunachal Pradesh, India. 4Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, 11586, Saudi Arabia. 5Department of Medical Research, China Medical University, Taichung, 40402, Taiwan. ⁶Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, Asia University, Taichung, Taiwan.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 14 June 2021 Accepted: 14 September 2021

References

- 1. Kuratowski, K.: Sur les espaces complets. Fundam. Math. 15, 301–309 (1930)
- 2. Adiguzel, R.S., Aksoy, U., Karapinar, E., Erhan, I.M.: On the solutions of fractional differential equations via Geraghty type hybrid contractions. Appl. Comput. Math. 20, 2 (2021)
- 3. Afshari, H., Alsulami, H.H., Karapinar, E.: On the extended multivalued Geraghty type contractions. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 9, 4695–4706 (2016). https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.009.06.108
- 4. Afshari, H., Kalantari, S., Baleanu, D.: Solution of fractional differential equations via *α ψ*-Geraghty type mappings. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2018, 347 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-018-1807-4
- 5. Afshari, H., Kalantari, S., Karapinar, E.: Solution of fractional differential equations via coupled fixed point. Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2015, 286 (2015)
- 6. Altun, I., Turkoglu, D.: A fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying a general contractive condition of operator type. J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 9(1), 9–14 (2007)
- 7. Arab, R., Nashine, H.K., Can, N.H., Binh, T.T.: Solvability of functional-integral equations (fractional order) using measure of noncompactness. Adv. Differ. Equ. 2020, Article ID 12 (2020)
- 8. Banaś, J., Jleli, M., Mursaleen, M., Samet, B., Vetro, C.: Advances in Nonlinear Analysis via the Concept of Measure of Noncompactness. Springer, Berlin (2017)
- 9. Banas, J., Mursaleen, M.: Sequence Spaces and Measures of Noncompactness with Applications to Differential and ´ Integral Equations. Springer, India (2014)
- 10. Darwish, M.A., Sadarangani, K.: On a quadratic integral equation with supremum involving Erdélyi-Kober fractional order. Math. Nachr. 288(5–6), 566–576 (2015)
- 11. Das, A., Hazarika, B., Arab, R., Agarwal, R.P., Nashine, H.K.: Solvability of infinite systems of fractional differential equations in the space of tempered sequences. Filomat 33(17), 5519–5530 (2019)
- 12. Das, A., Hazarkia, B., Mursaleen, M.: Application of measure of noncompactness for solvability of the infinite system of integral equations in two variables in $\ell_p(1 < p < \infty)$. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. 113(1), 31-40 (2019)
- 13. Das, A., Hazarika, B., Panda, S.K., Vijayakumar, V.: An existence result for an infinite system of implicit fractional integral equations via generalized Darbo's fixed point theorem. Comput. Appl. Math. 40, 143 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-021-01537-z
- 14. Mursaleen, M., Mohiuddine, S.A.: Applications of measures of noncompactness to the infinite system of differential equations in lp spaces. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl. 75, 2111–2115 (2012)
- 15. Nashine, H.K., Arab, R., Agarwal, R.P., Haghigh, A.S.: Type fixed and coupled fixed point results and its application to integral equation. Period. Math. Hung. 77, 94–107 (2018)
- 16. Rabbani, M., Das, A., Hazarika, B., Arab, R.: Measure of noncompactness of a new space of tempered sequences and its application on fractional differential equations. Chaos Solitons Fractals 140, 110221 (2020)
- 17. Rabbani, M., Das, A., Hazarika, B., Arab, R.: Existence of solution for two dimensional nonlinear fractional integral equation by measure of noncompactness and iterative algorithm to solve it. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 370, 112654 (2020)
- 18. Nguyen, P.D.: Note on a Allen–Cahn equation with Caputo–Fabrizio derivative. Res. Nonlinear Anal. 4(3), 179–185 (2021)
- 19. Ardjouni, A.: Asymptotic stability in Caputo–Hadamard fractional dynamic equations. Res. Nonlinear Anal. 4(2), 77–86 (2021)
- 20. Jangid, K., Purohit, S.D., Nisar, K.S., Abdeljawad, T.: Certain generalized fractional integral inequalities. Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 4(4), 252–259 (2020)
- 21. Abu Jarad, E.S.A., Abu Jarad, M.H.A., Abdeljawad, T., Jarad, F.: Some properties for certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with k-integral operators. Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 4(4), 459–482 (2020)
- 22. Lazreg, J.E., Abbas, S., Benchohra, M., Karapınar, E.: Impulsive Caputo–Fabrizio fractional differential equations in b-metric spaces. https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2021-0040
- 23. Sevinik-Adıgüzel, R., Aksoy, Ü., Karapınar, E., Erhan, I.M.: Uniqueness of solution for higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equations with multi-point and integral boundary conditions. RACSAM 115, 155 (2021)
- 24. Maharaj, S.D., Chaisi, M.: New anisotropic models from isotropic solutions. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 29, 67–83 (2006) 25. Banas, J., Goebel, K.: Measure of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, ´
- vol. 60. Dekker, New York (1980)
- 26. Agarwal, R.P., O'Regan, D.: Fixed Point Theory and Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004)
- 27. Darbo, G.: Punti uniti in trasformazioni a codominio non compatto (Italian). Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova 24, 84–92 (1955)
- 28. Das, A., Hazarika, B., Kumam, P.: Some new generalization of Darbo's fixed point theorem and its application on integral equations. Mathematics 7, 214 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3390/math7030214
- 29. Chang, S.S., Huang, Y.J.: Coupled fixed point theorems with applications. J. Korean Math. Soc. 33(3), 575–585 (1996)

- 30. Mohammadi, B., Haghighi, A.S., Khorshidi, M., De la Sen, M., Parvaneh, V.: Existence of solutions for a system of integral equations using a generalization of Darbo's fixed point theorem. Mathematics 8, 492 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3390/math8040492
- 31. Jarad, F., Abdeljawad, T., Alzabut, J.: Generalized fractional derivatives generated by a class of local proportional derivatives. Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. 226, 3457–3471 (2017)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen^o journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- \blacktriangleright Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- \blacktriangleright High visibility within the field
- \blacktriangleright Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com

Terms and Conditions Ĩ

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH ("Springer Nature").

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users ("Users"), for smallscale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use ("Terms"). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

- 1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
- 2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
- 3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval , sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
- 4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
- 5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
- 6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com